arXiv:1110.0095v2 [math.AG] 7 Apr 2012

On Subvarieties of Abelian Varieties with degenerate Gauß Mapping

Rainer Weissauer

Let X be an abelian variety over an algebraically closed field k of dimension g. For a closed irreducible subvariety Y of dimension d in X, we let S denote a Zariski dense open subset of its regular locus Y_{reg}. For the conormal bundle $p_S : T^*_S(X) \to S$ let Λ_Y denote the closure of $T^*_S(X)$ in the cotangent bundle $T^*(X)$ of X. The cotangent bundle is trivial for an abelian variety. With respect to the decomposition

$$T^*(X) \cong X \times T^*_0(X)$$

its bundle morphism $p_X : T^*(X) \to X$ is given by the projection on the first factor. The corresponding structure morphism $p_Y : \Lambda_Y \to Y$ is defined by the upper horizontal map of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda_Y \arrow[r, hook] & T^*(X) \arrow[r, "p_X"] & X \, , \\
\Lambda_S \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[rr, "p_S"] & & S \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

using that the image of p_Y is contained in Y, since Y is the Zariski closure of S and Λ_Y is the Zariski closure of Λ_S. Notice, $\dim(\Lambda_Y) = g$ implies $\dim(\Lambda_Y \setminus \Lambda_S) < g$. For $y \in S$ let $\Lambda_{S,y}$ denote the fiber $p_S^{-1}(y)$, which is the conormal space $N^*_y(Y)$ of Y in $T^*_y(X)$ at the point y. By a translation, $T^*_y(X)$ will be identifed with its image in $T^*_0(X) = -y + T^*_y(X)$, so

$$\Lambda_{S,y} \subset T^*_0(X) \, .$$

For $\Lambda_Y \subset T^*(X)$, now the projection $T^*(X) \to T^*_0(X)$ on the second factor induces the Gauß mapping

$$\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T^*_0(X) \, .$$

The image under the Gauß mapping of $\lambda = (y, \tau)$, with components $y \in Y$ and $\tau \in \Lambda_{Y,y} \subset T^*_0(X)$, is $\tau \in T^*_0(X)$, whereas the image under the structure morphism $p_Y : \Lambda_Y \to Y$ is the first component $y \in Y \subset X$. Since, for $y \in S$, the vector τ is a conormal vector $\tau \in T^*_{Y,y}(X) \subset T^*_y(X) \cong T^*_0(X)$ via translation, the linear form τ annihilates the tangent space $T_y(Y)$ of Y at y.

The case $Y = X$ is exceptional; in this case the image $\gamma(\Lambda_Y)$ of the Gauß mapping is contained in $\{0\}$. For $Y \neq X$, we may remove both the closure of the zero section in Λ_Y and the zero section in $T^*(X)$ to obtain a proper morphism of the associated projective conormal bundles

$$\mathbb{P}\gamma : \mathbb{P}\Lambda_S \to \mathbb{P}(T^*_0(X)) .$$

Obviously, for $Y \neq X$, the mapping $\mathbb{P}\gamma$ is dominant if and only if the mapping γ is dominant. Therefore we may ignore the trivial vector $\tau = 0$ in $T^*_0(X)$ in subsequent arguments. Furthermore, if γ is not dominant, the image $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda_Y)$ is a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(T^*_0(X))$, hence contained in a hypersurface defined as the zero locus of some nontrivial homogenous polynomial on $T^*_0(X)$.

Since $\dim(\Lambda_Y) = g$, hence for $Y \neq X$ the following assertions are equivalent

(a) $\dim(\gamma(\Lambda)) < g$

(b) The proper morphism $\mathbb{P}\gamma : \mathbb{P}\Lambda_S \to \mathbb{P}(T^*_0(X))$ is not dominant.

(c) The image $\gamma(\Lambda_S)$ of the Gauß mapping is contained in the zero locus of a nontrivial homogenous polynomial F on $T^*_0(X)$.

(d) $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T^*_0(X)$ is not dominant.

(e) $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T^*_0(X)$ is not generically finite.

(f) For any point y of general position in S there exists a curve C in S containing y, which is contracted by γ.

Similarly one defines the Gauß mapping γ for reducible closed varieties Y. In this case the Gauß mapping is dominant if and only if the Gauß mapping of one of its irreducible components is dominant.

An irreducible variety Y in X will be called *degenerate*, if there exists a positive dimensional abelian subvariety $A \subset X$ such that $A + Y = Y$. (This notion differs from the one used in [R]). Our main result is

Theorem 1. *For a closed irreducible subvariety Y of X the following assertions are equivalent*

- *The Gauss mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ is not dominant.*
- *Y is degenerate.*

The mapping defined on S, which assigns to each point $y \in S$ the tangent space $T_y(Y)$ in $T_y(X)$, gives rise to another Gauß mapping Γ, now with image in a Graßmann variety

$$\Gamma : S \to Gr(d, g) \ .$$

Again the tangent spaces $T_y(X)$ are considered in $T_0(X)$ via translation by $y \in X$; and Γ and γ can be identified in the cases $d = 1$ and $d = g - 1$.

The next theorem reproduces theorem 4 of [A]. See also [R], chapter II.

Theorem 2. *For a closed irreducible subvariety Y of X the following holds: If the Gauss mapping $\Gamma : \Lambda_Y \to Gr(d, g)$ is not generically finite, then the Gauss mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ is not dominant; and hence Y is degenerate in the sense above.*

Proof of Theorem 2. If Γ is not generically finite, then through any point y of S in general position there exists an algebraic curve C containing y, which is contracted under Γ. So for y' in C we have $T_y(Y) = T_{y'}(Y)$ in $T_0(X)$ and hence $\Lambda_{S,y} = N_y^* Y = N_{y'}^* Y = \Lambda_{S,y'}$. Thus $\gamma(y, v) = \gamma(y', v)$ for all $v \in \Lambda_{S,y} \subset T_0^*(X)$. Therefore $C \times \{v\} \subset \Lambda_S$ is contracted by γ for all $v \in N_y^*(Y) \subset T_0^*(X)$. Hence there exist points in general position contracted by γ. Thus the Gauß mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_S \to T_0^*(X)$ is not dominant, and by theorem 1 there exists an abelian subvariety A of $dim(A) > 0$ in X such that $A + Y = Y$.

Concerning the proof of Theorem 1. To show that the first assertion implies the second, will cover the next sections. The converse is rather trivial: For $A \subset X$ of $\dim(A) > 0$, consider the image $\tilde{Y}$ of Y in the quotient $B = X/A$. Notice that $A + Y = Y$ implies $T_y(A) \subset T_y(Y)$, hence $\Lambda_{Y,y} \subset T_0^*(B)$. Therefore $\gamma(\Lambda_S) = \tilde{\gamma}(\Lambda_{\tilde{Y}}) \subset T_0^*(B)$ holds, for the corresponding Gauß mapping $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\tilde{Y}$ in B. Thus $\dim(\gamma(\Lambda_S)) = \dim(\tilde{\gamma}(\Lambda_{\tilde{S}})) \leq \dim(B) < \dim(X) = g$, and therefore the Gauß mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ is not dominant.

The converse assertion of theorem 1 will be proved by induction on the dimension d of Y. The case $d = 0$ is trivial. So in the following let us fix some $d > 0$, and suppose that theorem 1 already holds for irreducible subvarieties $Y' \subset X'$ of dimension $\dim(Y') < d$ of arbitrary abelian varieties X'. This assumption will be maintained during the proof almost until the end of the paper. Furthermore, it is easy to see that for the proof, without restriction of generality, we may assume that Y generates X

$$\langle Y \rangle = X \ .$$

Under these conditions, we will then show that the assertion of theorem 1 also holds for varieties Y of dimension d.

Before we proceed with the proof, we quote from [A] the following

Characterization of degenerate subvarieties

For a reduced, irreducible subvariety Y of an abelian variety X define

$$Z(Y) = \{ y \in Y \mid \exists X' \subset X, X' \text{ closed subgroup}, dim(X') > 0, y + X' \subset Y \} \ .$$

Then according to loc. cit. the following assertions hold

Proposition 1. *Suppose Y is Zariski closed in X, then $Z(Y)$ is Zariski closed in Y.*

Proposition 2. *Suppose Y is closed in X and $Z(Y) = Y$ holds. Then Y is degenerate.*

In loc. cit. this is stated in the more general context of semiabelian varieties.

Lemma 1. Suppose $U \subset Y$ is a Zariski open dense subvariety, and Y is closed in X. Then $Z(U) = U$ implies $Z(Y) = Y$.

Proof. Indeed $Z(U) \subset Z(Y)$ by definition. Hence proposition 1 implies $Y = \overline{U} = \overline{Z(U)} \subset Z(Y)$. Hence $Z = Z(Y)$, which proves the lemma. □

Remark 1. With lemma 1 in mind we often replace Y by some Zariski dense open subset U contained in the nonsingular locus $S = Y_{reg}$ of Y. We then tacitly write $U = S$ by abuse of notation.

Remark 2. Suppose Y, or a Zariski open dense subset U of Y, is a union of not necessarily finitely many subvarieties F. Then $Z(F) = F$ for all the F implies $Z(U) = U$, hence $Z(Y) = Y$.

Exact sequences of abelian varieties

1) For a nontrivial abelian subvariety $X' \subset X$ of dimension $< g$ we have the quotient mapping

$$q : X \to \tilde{X} = X/X' \ .$$

The image $\tilde{Y}$ of Y is considered as a closed irreducible variety of $\tilde{X}$ endowed with the reduced subscheme structure

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & X' \arrow[r] & X \arrow[r, "q"] & \tilde{X} \arrow[r] & 0 \ . \\
& & Y \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "q", two heads] & \tilde{Y} \arrow[u, hook] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$\tilde{Y}$ generates the nontrivial abelian variety $\tilde{X}$, by our assumption $\langle Y \rangle = X$. Hence $\dim(\tilde{Y}) > 0$. Therefore the fibers $F_{\tilde{y}}$ of the morphism $q : Y \to \tilde{Y}$ have dimension

$$\dim(F_{\tilde{y}}) < d = \dim(Y) \ .$$

For $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{Y}$, there exists $y \in Y$ so that $F_{\tilde{y}} \ = \ q^{-1}(\tilde{y}) \ \subset \ y + X'$.

2) Both concerning the assertions and the assumptions of theorem 1, we may replace X by a finite etale covering and Y by its inverse image. This allows to assume for the proof that X splits (noncanonically) into a direct product

$$X = X' \times \tilde{X} \ .$$

Therefore, in the following, we often tacitly assume that some splitting of the exact sequence exists, and has been chosen. Then

$$T^*(X) = T^*(X') \times T^*(\tilde{X})$$

and

$$F_{\tilde{y}} = Y \cap (\tilde{y} + X') \ .$$

3) For (regular) points y_1, y_2 in Y, such that $q(y_1) = q(y_2)$ holds in $\tilde{X}$, the fibers $\Lambda_{Y,y_1} = N^*_{y_1}(Y)$ and $\Lambda_{Y,y_2} = N^*_{y_2}(Y)$ usually do not coincide. Let $i : X' \to X$ be the inclusion or, more generally, any of its translates $i(x') = y + x'$. We claim

Lemma 2. *There exists a Zariki dense open subset U of the set of regular points of $\tilde{S}$ of $\tilde{Y}$, such that for regular points y of Y in $q^{-1}(U)$ there exists a canonical exact sequence of vectorspaces*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{S,y} \xrightarrow{T^*(i)} \Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y} \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

Hence, for fixed $\tilde{y} = q(y)$ in $\tilde{X}$ with fiber $F_{\tilde{y}}$ in X', the variation of the conormal spaces $\Lambda_{S,y}$ is controlled by the variation of the conormal spaces $\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}$. Notice, for a subvariety Y' of a translate of X', we can define $\Lambda_{Y'}$ in $T^*(X)$, and also $\Lambda'_{Y'}$ in $T^*(X')$. Hence the prime index will indicate that the ambient space is a translate of X'.

To prove lemma 2. Consider $0 \to T_y(F_{\tilde{y}}) \to T_y(Y) \to T_{\tilde{y}}(\tilde{Y}) \to 0$. This exact sequence of tangent spaces, at a point y where q is a smooth morphism locally, maps to $0 \to T(X') \to T(X) \to T(\tilde{X}) \to 0$. Hence by the snake lemma we get $0 \to N'_y(F_{\tilde{y}}) \to N_y(Y) \to N_{\tilde{y}}(\tilde{Y}) \to 0$, and the exact sequence in our assertion is the dual sequence. This proves the claim. $\square$

For completeness, we record that there exists another naturally defined exact sequence $0 \to \Lambda_{S,y} \to \Lambda_{F_{\tilde{y}},y} \to T^*_{\tilde{y}}(\tilde{S}) \to 0$.

4) Since $\Lambda_S = \bigcup_{y\in S} \Lambda_{S,y}$, the image $\gamma(\Lambda_Y)$ of the Gauß mapping in $T^*_0(X)$ is the Zariski closure of the union

$$\gamma(\Lambda_S) = \bigcup_{y\in S} \gamma(\Lambda_{S,y}) \ .$$

Here, of course, S could be replaced by any Zariski dense open subset. We conclude that the image of $\gamma(\Lambda_Y)$ under the linear mapping

$$T^*(i) : T^*_0(X) \to T^*_0(X')$$

is the Zariski closure of

$$T^*(i)(\bigcup_{y\in S} \gamma(\Lambda_{S,y})) = \bigcup_{y\in S} T^*(i)(\gamma(\Lambda_{S,y})) = \bigcup_{y\in S} \gamma'(\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}) \ ,$$

where $\gamma' : \Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y} \to T^*_0(X')$ denotes the Gauß mapping for X' instead of X.

Indeed, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda_{S,y} \arrow[r, "T^*(i)"] \arrow[d, hook] \arrow[dd, bend right=60, "\gamma"'] & \Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y} \arrow[d, hook] \arrow[dd, bend left=60, "\gamma'"] \\
T^*(X) \arrow[d] & T^*(X') \arrow[d] \\
T_0^*(X) \arrow[r, "T^*(i)"] & T_0^*(X')
\end{tikzcd}$$

possibly after replacing S by some Zariski open dense subset (also denoted S by abuse of notation). Thus

$$T^*(i)(\gamma(\Lambda_Y)) \ = \ \overline{\bigcup_{y \in S} \gamma'(\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y})} \ .$$

5) Now consider the case where the Gauß mapping

$$\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$$

is not dominant; in addition we assume $Y \neq X$.

Then, for a homogenous polynomial $F \neq 0$ on $T_0^*(X) = T_0^*(X') \oplus T_0^*(\tilde{X})$, the zero locus of F contains the image of the Gauss mapping γ.

Suppose

- $\tau' \neq 0$ in $T_0^*(X')$ is some fixed vector of general position in $T_0^*(X')$.
- τ' is contained in the subvectorspace $\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}$ of $T_0^*(X')$, for $y \in U \subset Y$ in some fixed Zariski dense open subset U of S.

Then, by lemma 2, there exists $\tilde{\tau}$ in $T_0^*(\tilde{X})$ such that the vector $\tau = (\tau', \tilde{\tau})$ in $T_0^*(X') \oplus T_0^*(\tilde{X}) = T_0^*(X)$ is contained in the linear subspace $\Lambda_{S,y}$ of $T_0^*(X)$; and furthermore

$$(\tau', \tilde{\tau}) + \Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}} \ \subset \ \Lambda_{S,y} \ \subset \ \gamma(\Lambda_S) \subset T_0^*(X) \ .$$

Hence the polynomial F vanishes on all the vectors $(\tau', \tilde{\tau}) + \Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}}$.

Notice, $\Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}} = 0 \times W$ for some linear subspace W of $V = T^*(\tilde{X})$. For $v \in V$ there exists an expansion of $F(\tau', v)$

$$F(\tau', v) = F_{m,\tau'}(v) + F_{m-1,\tau'}(v) + ... + F_{0,\tau'}(v) \ ,$$

where the $F_{\nu,\tau'}(v)$ are homogenous polynomials of degree ν on V. We may suppose $\tilde{F} := F_{m,\tau'} \neq 0$, since otherwise F would not depend on the variable $v \in V$; and this would give as a contradiction $F = 0$, since τ' in $T^*(X')$ is of general position by our assumptions. For any $v \in V$ and any fixed vector $\tilde{\tau} \in V$ we have (symbolically)

$$\tilde{F}(v) \ = \ \lim_{t\to\infty} t^{-m} \cdot F(\tau', \tilde{\tau} + t \cdot v) \ .$$

Since $F(\tau', \tilde{\tau} + W) = 0$ vanishes, we get: For every $v \in W \subset V$ and any $t \in k^*$ also $t^{-m} \cdot F(\tau', \tilde{\tau} + t \cdot v) = 0$ vanishes. We summarize this as follows:

- $\tilde{F} \neq 0$ on $V = T_0^*(\tilde{X})$
- $\tilde{F} = 0$ on $W = \Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}} \subset T_0^*(\tilde{X})$.

Notice, the polynomial $\tilde{F}$ does not depend on the particular point $y \in U$. It only depends on the fixed decomposition $T_0^*(X) = T_0^*(X') \oplus T_0^*(\tilde{X})$ and on the point τ' in $T_0^*(X')$, where the latter is in general position by assumption. We obtain

Corollary 1. *Suppose Y is closed and irreducible in X such that the Gauß mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ is not dominant. If $0 \to X' \to X \to \tilde{X} \to 0$ with $0 < dim(X') < g$ is given together with τ' in $\gamma'(\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}) \subset T^*(i)(\gamma(\Lambda_S))$, with sufficantly general position in $T_0^*(X')$, then the Gauß mapping*

$$\tilde{\gamma} : \Lambda_{\tilde{Y}} \to T_0^*(\tilde{X})$$

is not dominant for all $\tilde{y}$ in a Zariski dense open subset of $\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{Y}$.

Proof. For the proof we may assume $Y \neq X$, since otherwise $\tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}$ and the assertion is trivial. So, for $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{S}$, we know $(\tau', \tilde{\tau}) + \Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}} \subset \gamma(\Lambda_S)$ holds for some $\tilde{\tau}$, as explained in section 5 above. For $v \in \Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}}$ therefore $\tilde{F}(v) = 0$ holds with respect to a fixed nontrivial polynomial $\tilde{F}$ on $T_0^*(\tilde{X})$, not depending on $\tilde{y}$. In particular, $\tilde{\gamma}$ cannot be dominant. $\square$

Proposition 3. *For Y closed and irreducible in X suppose*

$$\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$$

is not dominant. Furthermore, given $0 \to X' \to X \to \tilde{X} \to 0$ for an abelian subvariety $0 \neq X' \subsetneq X$, suppose

$$\tilde{\gamma} : \Lambda_{\tilde{Y}} \to T_0^*(\tilde{X})$$

is dominant. Then, for all $\tilde{y}$ in a Zariski dense open subset of $\tilde{S} \subset \tilde{Y}$, none of the Gauß mappings

$$\gamma' : \Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}}} \to T_0^*(X')$$

is dominant (the same for the irreducible components Y' of these fibers $F_{\tilde{y}}$).

Proof. If $\gamma' : \Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}}} \to T_0^*(X')$ is dominant for some $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{S} \subset \tilde{Y}$ in general position, there exists a conormal vector $\tau' \neq 0$ of general position in $T_0^*(X')$ such that

$$\tau' \in \gamma'(\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y},y}})$$

holds for some point y on the fiber $F_{\tilde{y}} \subset Y$ with $q(y) = \tilde{y}$. By corollary 1 therefore $\tilde{\gamma} : \Lambda_{\tilde{Y}} \to T_0^*(\tilde{X})$ is not dominant, contradicting our assumptions. ☐

By our induction assumptions, theorem 1 holds for varieties Y' of dimension $< d$. Thus, in the situation of proposition 3, we can therefore apply theorem 1 to the irreducible components Y' of the fibers $F_{\tilde{y}}$ in Y. These have dimension $\dim(Y') \leq \dim(Y) - \dim(\tilde{Y}) < d$ for generic $\tilde{y}$ in $\tilde{Y}$, since $\tilde{Y}$ has dimension > 0 by the assumption $\langle Y \rangle = X$. In the situation of the proof of the induction step for theorem 1, after renaming X' by A, therefore the last proposition implies

Proposition 4. *Suppose Y is closed and irreducible in X of dimension $d = \dim(Y)$ and generates X. Suppose there exists an abelian subvariety $A \subsetneq X$ such that*

- $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ *is not dominant.*
- $\tilde{\gamma} : \Lambda_{\tilde{Y}} \to T_0^*(\tilde{X})$ *is dominant, for the image $\tilde{Y}$ of Y in $\tilde{X} = X/A$.*

Then Y is degenerate.

Proof. The assumptions on the Gauß mappings imply $A \neq 0$ and $\tilde{Y} \neq \tilde{X}$. By the other assumptions $\tilde{X} \neq 0$. Hence $\dim(\tilde{Y}) > 0$ by $\langle \tilde{Y} \rangle = \tilde{X}$. Then, by proposition 3, all Gauß mappings $\gamma' : \Lambda'_{Y'} \to T_0^*(X')$ for the irreducible components Y' of the fibers $F_{\tilde{y}}$ (for $\tilde{y}$ in general position) are not dominant. By the general induction assumption, underlying the proof of theorem 1, we conclude that for all these $\tilde{y}$ the components Y' are degenerate. Hence $Z(Y') = Y'$, and therefore $Z(U) = U$ for some Zariski dense open subset U of Y by remark 2. Thus Y is degenerate, by proposition 1 and 2. ☐

In the situation of the induction step for theorem 1 the proposition 3 also implies

Corollary 2. *Suppose Y is irreducible and closed in X of dimension d and generates X. Suppose Y is not degenerate and $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ is not dominant. Then for any abelian subvariety $X' \subset X$, with quotient $\tilde{X} := X/X'$ and image $\tilde{Y}$ of Y in $\tilde{X}$, the Gauß mapping $\tilde{\gamma} : \Lambda_{\tilde{Y}} \to T_0^*(\tilde{X})$ is not dominant.*

Proof. We can assume $\dim(X') > 0$, so that proposition 4 can be applied. $\square$

Fibers of the Gauß mapping

Suppose $Y \subsetneq X$ is irreducible and closed, and suppose the Gauß mapping

$$\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$$

is not dominant. In this section we furthermore assume $\langle Y \rangle = X$ and $Y \neq X$. In this setting we now use the following argument as in [R] or [KrW]: Under these assumptions all nonempty fibers of the Gauß mapping γ

$$Z_\tau = \gamma^{-1}(\tau) \subset \Lambda_Y$$

have dimension

$$\dim(Z_\tau) \geq 1 \ .$$

This follows from the upper semicontinuity of fiber dimensions, since it holds for generic points τ in $\gamma(\Lambda_Y)$. Notice, the image $Y_\tau = p_Y(Z_\tau)$ in Y

$$\begin{tikzcd} Z_\tau \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "p_Y"'] & \Lambda_Y \arrow[r, "\gamma"] \arrow[d, "p_Y"] & T_0^*(X) \\ Y_\tau \arrow[r, hook] & Y & \end{tikzcd}$$

has the property that $p_Y : Z_\tau \to Y_\tau$ is a set theoretic bijection, since over any $y \in Y_\tau$ the points $z \in Z_\tau$ are uniquely determined by the condition $\gamma(z) = \tau$. Indeed, set theoretically,

$$Z_\tau = Y_\tau \times \{\tau\} \subset X \times T_0^*(X) = T^*(X) \ .$$

Now assume $\tau \neq 0$. Then τ defines a nontrivial linear form

$$\tau : T_0(X) \to k$$

whose kernel contains all tangent vectors in $T_y(Y)$, considered as vectors in $T_0(X)$ via a translation by $y \in X$. In particular all tangent vectors in $T_y(Y_\tau)$, at regular points y of Y_τ, are contained in the kernel of the linear form τ.

So, for given $\tau \neq 0$, let us fix some point $y = y_\tau$ in Y_τ.

Then $Y_\tau - y_\tau$ contains zero, and the abelian subvariety X' of X generated by $Y_\tau - y_\tau$ is a nontrivial abelian subvariety $X' \subsetneq X$. Indeed $\dim(Y_\tau) \geq 1$ implies $X' \neq 0$, and $\tau(T_0(X')) = 0$ implies $X' \neq X$. Furthermore by construction of X'

$$Z_\tau \subset y_\tau + X' \ .$$

In this situation, a priori, the abelian variety $X' = X'(\tau, y)$ may depend on the choice of $\tau \in \gamma(\Lambda)$ and also on the choice of $y = y_\tau$ in Y_τ, so that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_\tau \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, hook] & X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & T_0^*(X) \ni \tau \\
F_{\tilde{y}_\tau} = Y \cap (y_\tau + X'(\tau, y_\tau)) \arrow[r, hook] & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$Y = \bigcup_{\tau \in \gamma(\Lambda_Y)} Y_\tau \ .$$

Rigidity Property. *For all τ in a Zariski open dense subset of $\gamma(\Lambda_Y)$ and all y_τ in a Zariski open dense subset of Y_τ, the abelian variety $X' = X'(\tau, y_\tau)$ does not depend on the choice of τ and y_τ.*

Proof. There exist only countably many abelian subvarieties X' in X, and $X' = X'(\tau, y_\tau)$ depends algebraically on τ and y_τ. Replace k by an uncountable extension field. ☐

By the rigidity property we can therefore assume that X' is a fixed nontrivial proper abelian subvariety attached to $Y \subset X$, such that for all y in a Zariski dense open subset $U \subset Y$

$$F_{\tilde{y}} = Y \cap (\tilde{y} + X') = Y \cap (y_\tau + X'(\tau, y_\tau))$$

contains Y_τ, hence is of positive dimension $dim(F_{\tilde{y}}) \geq 1$; and the image $\tilde{Y}$ of Y in $\tilde{X} = X/X'$ is irreducible of dimension

$$\dim(\tilde{Y}) < \dim(Y) \ .$$

To summarize; this shows

Lemma 3. *To irreducible closed $Y \neq X$ with $\langle Y \rangle = X$ and non-dominant Gauß mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$, there is an abelian subvariety $0 \neq X' \subsetneq X$*

such that $\dim(\tilde{Y}) < \dim(Y)$ *holds for the image* $\tilde{Y}$ *of* Y *in* $\tilde{X} = X/X'$*, with the fibers of the Gauß mapping* γ *contained in translates of* X'*.*

Indeed $\langle Y \rangle = X$ can be assumed without restriction of generality.

In the situation of lemma 3, let us now assume that Y is not degenerate with a non-dominant Gauß mapping γ, and let us also assume that theorem 1 holds for varieties of dimension $< \dim(Y)$. Then proposition 4 can be applied; it shows that the induced Gauß mapping

$$\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{Y} \to T_0^*(\tilde{X})$$

is not dominant. Then $\langle \tilde{Y} \rangle = \tilde{X}$ is inherited from $\langle Y \rangle = X$. So suppose

$$\tilde{Y} \neq \tilde{X} \ .$$

Then, if $\tilde{Y} \neq \tilde{X}$, we can apply once again lemma 3, now for the pair $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})$, to construct an exact sequence

$$0 \to \tilde{X}' \to \tilde{X} \to \tilde{\tilde{X}} \to 0$$

such that

- $\langle \tilde{\tilde{Y}} \rangle = \tilde{\tilde{X}}$
- $\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}} : \tilde{\tilde{Y}} \to T_0^*(\tilde{\tilde{X}})$ is not dominant.

Obviously, this construction can be iterated. It must terminate after finitely many steps, since

$$\cdots < \dim(\tilde{\tilde{Y}}) < \dim(\tilde{Y}) < \dim(Y) \ ,$$

thus provides an abelian subvariety $A \subsetneq X$ containing X', such that the image of Y in $B = X/A$ is equal to B.

A closed irreducible variety Y in X will be called *codegenerate* (with respect to A), if there exists an abelian subvariety $A \neq X$ in X, such that the image of Y in $B = X/A$ is equal to B.

Using this notion, in the situation of the induction step for the proof of theorem 1, we have therefore shown that for Y closed irreducible of dimension $\dim(Y) = d$ the following corollary holds.

Corollary 3. *Suppose the Gauß mapping*

$$\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$$

is not dominant, then either

- *Y is degenerate, or*
- *Y is codegenerate with respect to an abelian subvariety A, so that the fibers of the Gauß mapping γ are contained in translates of A.*

End of the proof of theorem 1

To finish the proof of the induction step (i.e. the proof of theorem 1) for Y of dimension d with non-dominant Gauß mapping, it remains to consider the codegenerate case $\tilde{Y} = B$ of the last corollary 3. Of course, without restriction of generality, we can in addition assume that Y is not degenerate. That Y is not degenerate implies (by the induction assumption of theorem 1 and proposition 1 and 2): For a Zariski dense open subset U of $\tilde{Y} = B$, the Gauß mappings of the fibers $F_{\tilde{y}}, \tilde{y} \in U$ of the projection $q : Y \to \tilde{Y} = B$ are nondegenerate. Indeed, if this non-degeneracy holds for a single fiber $F_{\tilde{y}}$, where $\tilde{y}$ is supposed to be in general position, it holds for all fibers $F_{\tilde{y}}$ with $\tilde{y}$ in a Zariski dense open subset U of $\tilde{Y}$ by a specialization argument.

Assuming all these conditions together, we in fact

Claim: Y is degenerate.

This contradiction implies the induction step for the proof of theorem 1.

To prove the last claim, we use the induction assumption stating that theorem 1 holds for dimension $< d$. Recall that in the last section we found

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & A \arrow[r, "i"] & X \arrow[r, "q"] & B \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

such that $B = \tilde{Y}$, and such that the fibers Z_τ of the Gauß mapping $\gamma : \Lambda_Y \to T_0^*(X)$ map bijectively to varieties $Y_\tau \subset Y$ that are contained in the fibers

$$F_{\tilde{y}} = Y \cap (\tilde{y} + A) \subset Y$$

of the projection $q : Y \to \tilde{Y} = B$. Here, without restriction of generality, we assume that B splits, so that B can be considered as a subvariety of X complementary to A. Since $Y = \bigcup_{\tilde{y} \in B} F_{\tilde{y}}$, the variety Y is degenerate if all the $F_{\tilde{y}}$ are degenerate for all $\tilde{y}$ in some Zariski open dense subset of $\tilde{Y}$ (using proposition 1 and 2). Therefore, if Y were not degenerate, by the induction assumption of theorem 1 we conclude that the Gauß mapping

$$\gamma_A : \Lambda^A_{F_{\tilde{y}}} \to T_0^*(A)$$

is dominant for all points $\tilde{y}$ of general position in $\tilde{Y}$.

Fix some $\tau' \neq 0$ in $T_0^*(A)$ in general position; fix some $\tilde{y}$, now with $\tilde{y} \in U$, so that $\gamma_A : \Lambda_{F_{\tilde{y}}} \to T_0^*(A)$ is dominant. Since γ_A is dominant and since τ has general position in $T_0^*(A)$, there exists

$$y \in F_{\tilde{y}} \subset \tilde{y} + A \text{ so that } \tau' \text{ is contained in } N_y^*(F_{\tilde{y}}) = \Lambda_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}\ .$$

Since $\tilde{S}$ is Zariski dense in $\tilde{Y} = B$, we conclude

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{S},\tilde{y}} = \Lambda_{B,\tilde{y}} = \{\tilde{y}\} \times \{0\}$$

in $T^*(B) = B \times T_0^*(B)$. Therefore, as explained in lemma 2, we obtain

Lemma 4. *For $\tilde{y}$ in a suitably chosen Zariski open dense subset U of $\tilde{Y} = B$, our assumptions imply that τ' (chosen in general position) is in $\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}$ such that*

$$\Lambda_{S,y} \cong \Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}\ .$$

In other words: $\tau' \in T_0^*(A)$ can be uniquely lifted to a point in $\Lambda_{S,y}$, once the corresponding base point $y \in F_{\tilde{y}}$ over $\tilde{y} \in U$ has been specified.

For the conormal bundle in $T^*(A)$ we write $\Lambda^A_{F_{\tilde{y}}}$, instead of $\Lambda'_{F_{\tilde{y}}},$. Notice, $\gamma_A : \Lambda^A_{F_{\tilde{y}}} \to T_0^*(A)$ is dominant, hence generically finite, for all $\tilde{y}$ a Zariski open subset $\tilde{U}$ of $\tilde{Y}$. We therefore obtain

Lemma 5. *For fixed $\tau' \neq 0$ with general position in $T_0^*(A)$ and fixed $\tilde{y}$ with general position in B, there exist only finitely many points $y \in Y$ mapping to $\tilde{y}$ (i.e. $y \in F_{\tilde{y}}$) for which τ' is contained in the conormal bundle $\Lambda_{F_{\tilde{y}},y}$ of $F_{\tilde{y}}$ at y.*

Combining lemma 4 and 5 we get

Lemma 6. *We have the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\textit{dense open subset of } \gamma(\Lambda_S) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, equal] & T_0^*(X) \arrow[d, "T^*(i)"] \\
\bigcup_{\tilde{y} \in U} \gamma'(\Lambda^A_{F_{\tilde{y}}}) \arrow[r] & T_0^*(A)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the image under the lower horizontal morphism of each $\gamma'(\Lambda^A_{F_{\tilde y}})$ *for* $\tilde y \in U$ *is Zariski dense in* $T^*_0(A)$*.*

Furthermore, for τ' *of sufficantly general position in* $T^*_0(A)$*, the points* $\gamma(\lambda) \in T^*_0(X)$ *in* $\gamma(\Lambda_S)$*, which map under* $T^*(i) : T^*_0(X) \to T^*_0(A)$ *to the point* τ'*, correspond to points* λ *in* Λ_S

$$\lambda = (y, \tau) \in \Lambda_S \subset X \times T^*_0(X)$$

for which the base point

$$\tilde y = q(y) \in B = X/A$$

of y *can be arbitrarily prescribed within a dense open subset of* B*. Once this base point* $\tilde y \in B$ *is fixed, there exists at least one, but at most finitely many, choices for the point* $y \in X$ *such that*

$$\tilde y = q(y) \text{ and } \lambda = (y, \tau) = (y, \tau', \tilde\tau) \in \Lambda_S$$

holds for some $\tilde\tau$ *in* $T^*_0(B)$*.*

Thus there exists a Zariki dense open subset $V \subset \Lambda_S$, such that on V the mapping $\varphi = (q \circ p_S, T^*(i) \circ \gamma)$ defines a (generically) finite and hence dominant morphism

$$\Lambda_S \supset V \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \times T^*_0(A)$$
$$\lambda = (y, \tau) \mapsto (\tilde y, \tau')\ .$$

For a point $\tau \in \gamma(\Lambda_S)$ in general position consider the fiber $Z_\tau \subset \Lambda_S$. Notice, $V \cap Z_\tau$ is a Zariski dense open subset of Z_τ by the choice of τ. Furthermore, for all points λ in $V \cap Z_\tau$ by definition $\lambda = (y, \tau)$ holds; and the fixed τ maps to a fixed τ' of general position in $T^*(A)$. In other words: The second component $T^*(i) \circ \gamma$ of the morphism φ is constant on Z_τ. Since φ is finite on V, therefore the first component

$$q \circ p_S : V \cap Z_\tau \to B$$

of the morphism φ is a finite morphism on $V \cap Z_\tau$, such that

$$V \cap Z_\tau \ni (y, \tau) \mapsto \tilde y = q(y)\ .$$

Since $X' \subset A$, using the notations of the argument preceding corollary 3, we already know from the beginning of this section that

$$Z_\tau \subset y_\tau + X' \subset y_\tau + A = \tilde y_\tau + A\ .$$

Here we assumed $X = A \oplus B$ without restriction of generality, and $\tilde{y}_\tau \in B$ is the image of y_τ under the projection $q : X \to B = X/A$. Recall that y_τ was some fixed chosen point in Y_τ, and only depends on τ. Hence the inclusion $Z_\tau \subset \tilde{y}_\tau + A$ implies

$$q \circ p_S(V \cap Z_\tau) = \tilde{y}_\tau \ .$$

Since $q \circ p_S$ is finite on $V \cap Z_\tau$, the intersection $V \cap Z_\tau$ therefore contains only finitely many point. But this contradicts $\dim(V \cap Z_\tau) = \dim(Z_\tau) \geq 1$, and finishes the proof of the induction step for theorem 1. $\square$

References

[A] Abramovich D., *Subvarieties of semiabelian varieties*. Comp. Math. 90 (1994), 37–52

[G] Ginsburg V., *Characteristic varieties and vanishing cycles*. Invent. math. 84, 327 - 402 (1986)

[KS] Kashiwara M., Shapira P., *Micro-hyperbolic systems*. Acta Math. 142, 1-55 (1979)

[KrW] Krämer T.,Weissauer R., *Vanishing theorems for constructible sheaves on abelian varieties*. Preprint, Heidelberg (2011)

[R] Ran Z., *On Subvarieties of Abelian Varieties*. Invent. math. 62, 459 – 479 (1981)